Centre Activity Report



External Quality Assurance Sampling Visit

Section 1 Contact details

1.1 Transaction number	8000047194
1.2 Centre Name	Morris Services Ltd
1.3 Centre number	008107
1.4 Centre contact	
Title	
First name	James
Surname	Morris
Telephone number*	
Email address*	enquiries@morrisserviœs.co.uk
Consultant Name	Stewart Long
Type of activity	External QA Sampling Visit
Date of activity (dd.mm.yyyy)	22.07.2014
Start Time (24 hrs clock)	0930
Finish Time (24 hrs dock)	16.00
. 40 2 0000	Centre
Location of activity	Centre

Section 2 Summary of activity & centre staff met

2.1 General comments regarding the day including good practice. For a support visit outline advice, guidance & training provided

The Quality Consultant QC was accompanied on the visit with Amelia Bodle from the C&G. Following introductions an agenda was agreed for the days visit. The visit included sampling candidates completed assignments on claimed awards, reviewing management procedures and workshops and special test panels used by trainees. In addition to speaking to trainees over the phone as none were present in the centre during the visit. Firstly the QC updated latest changes to current awards and advised the centre of new awards also noting some concerns regarding disparity between the C&G and other awarding bodies this included Safety award 6072 and 2397 Domestic qualified Supervisor, also if and when the 2392 would be replaced/updated these issues would be investigated with the awarding bodies owner and reported back to the centre later. The new vehicle-charging award was also discussed and the QC agreed to follow this up and supply details.

Awards were sampled as detailed below in all cases the awarding bodies standards were used for assignments and assessments. The QC agreed all assessments and that grades had been fairly given in line with award specifications, quality feedback had been given to candidates as required. All had been verified with separate reports for each candidate again with feedback to the assessor, the centre practice 100% sampling, as numbers are relatively low. Prior to the visit and during the visit the QC telephoned trainees to interview them on their experiences undertaking training at the centre. In all cases they were verypleased with training and the great support given by staff at the centre enabling them to achieve their qualifications, all were aware of the EO policy and the appeals procedures in place.

Management procedures were reviewed with the IQA for the centre this include standardisation meetings that were held every 4 months that covered current awards. Staff CPD was recorded on the IFL database and event certificates were sampled that demonstrated opportunities had been taken over the past year to maintain knowledge and skills this included regular regional forums with other centre's and colleges. The Centre's H&S and EO policy were in place with the appeals procedures that was briefed to all candidates at the commencement of training.

Workshops and purpose built test panels wereinspected that aligned with requirements and specifications of awards undertaken by the centre, good attention was given to safety and safe working practice in the workshops, tools and personal protective equipment was available for trainees as required. In conclusion the day's visit was reviewed and feedback given to all present and all thanked for their support during the day.

2.2 Centre	e staff met				
Delete?	? Staff Name		Role	Met	
	Jame Morris		Centre Manager/ IV,Assessor/Lect	● Yes ● No	
	Les Holmes		IV/Assessor/Lecturer	Yes No	
	Alan Wilson		Assessor/Lecturer	○ Yes ◎ No	
Add No	Delete previous action plan been	N/A			
met by the		Source &			
Comments					

Section 3 Qualification related information

3.1 Qualifications sampled/advised

Delete?	Qualification number	Qualification title	Number of active registered learners	Number of certificated learners from last 12 months	Prior qualification approval status	New qualification approval status
	2330-07	Cert in Electrotechnical tec	0	1	Low (Full Approval)	Low (Full Approval)
	2377-22	Code Of Prct. For In-Service	13	10	Low (Full Approval)	Low (Full Approval)
	2394-01	Level 3 Award in the Initial	14	5	Low (Full Approval)	Low (Full Approval)
	2395-01	Level 3 Award in the Periodi	18	7	Low (Full Approval)	Low (Full Approval)

Add New Delete

3.2 Checklist

If the answer is 'no' please explain

Quality Assurance Requirement	Finding	Comments
Is the Staff Matrix up-to-date?	Yes No	Yes supplied with CA2
Are all current staff approved, and hold the relevant recognised qualifications?	Yes No	
Is the centre registered for and receiving the monthly mailings?	Yes No	
Does centre access centre section of website - to obtain latest guidance and updates?	Yes No	
Are learners development needs matched against the requirements of the qualification(s) and an agreed individual assessment plan established?	Yes No	
Do learners have regular opportunities to review their progress and goals?	Yes No	
Do learners have regular opportunities to revise their assessment plan accordingly?	Yes No	
Are particular assessment requirements of learners identified and met where possible?	Yes No	All awards aligned with awarding bodies specifications
Were any assessments completed in Welsh? If so, please provide further details in section 2.1	O Yes O No	

3.3 Sampling Pages

Delete?	Learner name and Reg. number	Reg. and Cert date	Qual. and Unit (s) sampled	Assessor name	Type of assessment and Date of Assessment (dd.mm.yyyy)		Do you agree with the Assessor finding?	Internal Quality Assurer (IQA) name	Internal quality assurance activity and date	Do you agree with Internal Quality Assurer finding?	Hide
	MARTIN TAYLOF	02.10.2012	2330-07	JM	Assignments	•	Yes ▼	LH	Final ▼	Yes	→ Hide
	RBD2983	21.05.2014	302/303		05.05.2014				17.05.2014		
All assig	nments undert	aken in accordar	ce to award speci	fication markings	and grades awarded a	greed.					
	James Faulkner	21.05.2012	2330-07	JM	Assignments	•	Yes ▼	LH	Final 🔻	Yes	₩
	YRS5823	09.07.2013	302/303		02.07.2013				08.07.2013		
All assig	nments undert	aken in accordar	ce to award speci	fication markings	and grades awarded a	greed.	•			•	
	Jennifer William	01.04.2014	2377-22	JM	Assignments	•	Yes ▼	LH	Final 🔻	Yes	Hide
	FXK4957	04.07.2014	01		23.06.2014				26.06.2014		
All assi	nments undert	aken in accordar	ce to award speci	fication markings	and grades awarded a	greed.	•				
	Peter Rosser	21.01.14	2377-22	JM	Assignments	•	Yes ▼	LH	Final 🔻	Yes	₩
	FFD8167	15.02.2014	01		05.02.2014				12.02.14		
All assig	nments undert	aken in accordar	ce to award speci	fication markings	and grades awarded a	greed.	•			•	
	Steven Mead	26.03.2013	2394-01	JM	Assignments	•	Yes ▼	LH	Final 🔻	Yes	₩
	TGU1495	17.09.2013	01		08.09.2013				10.09.2013		
All assig	nments undert	aken in accordar	ce to award speci	fication markings	and grades awarded a	greed.	•			1	
	Gary Avery	05.01.2013	2394-01	JM	Assignments	•	Yes ▼	LH	Final 🔻	Yes	₩
	ZHO1954	15.06.2013	01		10.06.2013				13.06.2013		
All assi	nments undert	aken in accordar	ce to award speci	fication markings	and grades awarded a	greed.	1			-	
	Ian Symes	13.08.2013	2395-01	JM	Assignments	•	Yes ▼	LH	Final 🔻	Yes	Hide

Delete?	Learner name and Reg. number	Reg. and Cert date	Qual. and Unit (s) sampled	Assessor name	Type of assessment and Date of Assessment (dd.mm.yyyy)		Do you agree with the Assessor finding?	Internal Quality Assurer (IQA) name	Internal quality assurance activity and date	Do you agree with Internal Quality Assurer finding?	Hide All
	CPO2460	18.03.2014	01		04.03.14				18.03.14		
All assig	nments undert	aken in accordanc	e to award specific	ation markings	and grades awarded agre	eed.				i e	
	Christopher Rer	23.10.2012	2395-01	JM	Assignments		Yes ▼	LH	Final •	Yes ▼	Hide
	XBS1813	12.02.2014	01		09.01.2014				10.02.2014		
Comme	ents of your sam	iple									1.2
	BRETT ROGERS	13.08.2013	2395-01	JM	Assignments •		Yes ▼	LH	Final •	Yes ▼	Hide
	XZT5503	15.01.2014	01		10.01.2014				14.01.2014		
Comme	ents of your sam	iple								1	1

Add New Delete

Summary of comments on sampling All awards have been fairly assessed using standard assessment material provided in the awarding bodies standard specifications.

If the answer is 'no' please explain

Quality Assurance Requirement	Finding	Comments
Have all programme operations been complied with?	Yes No	o o
Is the assessment strategy complied with?	Yes No	
Have all assessments been sampled as planned?	Yes No	100% sampling is performed on all awards where internal assessment is required.
Do IQA staff facilitate regular standardisation activities?	Yes No	
Do IQA staff give appropriate feedback to assessors regarding their assessment decisions?	Yes No	
Do IQA staff maintain appropriate sampling plans in line with CAMERA?	Yes No	0
Are records of IQA activity maintained and made available in line with City & Guilds / ILM requirements ?	Yes No	
Do all claims for certificates meet the necessary requirements?	Yes No	
Are there any other risks concerning IQA activity?	O Yes O No	0

Section 4 Action, Improvement Plan

